EXHIBIT A

Proposal

for

Coder Mentoring Services

Submitted to:

Johns Hopkins Health System Corporation Baltimore, Maryland

Revised September 29, 2006

TABLE OF CONTENIS

	FAG	r E.
1.0 2.0	INTRODUCTION & PURPOSE METHODOLOGY	3
3.0	PROJECT TEAM	6
4.0	PROJECT DELIVERABLES	6
5.0	PROJECT FEES as a summa management and a	6
6.0	HP3 COMPLIANCE, TRAINING AND EDUCATION PLAN	6
6.1	Statement of Compliance/Ethics.	
6.2	General Reimbursement/ Education and Training	.7
63	HP3 Coding and Auditing Compliance Resource Manual	7
6.4	Quality Monitoring and Auditing	8
6.5	HIPAA Privacy Education and Training	8
6.6	Updating Education/Training Requirements	9
67	Verification of Credentialed Employees and Reference Checks	9
	Verification of Non-Exclusion From Any Federal/State Healthcare Program	
6.9	HP3 CDI Physician Education & Training Compliance Statement	,
6.10	Contingency Fee Billing	2
6 11	Promises & Guarantees 1	2

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: STAFF RESUMES

APPENDIX B: CODING QUALITY WEEKLY SUMMARY APPENDIX

C: CODING PROGRAM: PROPOSED COURSE OUILINE

1.0 Introduction & Purpose

HP3 is pleased to present this proposal to Johns Hopkins Health System Corporation (JHHS) for Coding Mentoring Services for its Coding Program students. This Proposal outlines our approach to the process as defined by JHHS.

2.0 Methodology

Project Reach at JHHS is seeking expertise to provide Coder Mentoring Services to its Coding Program students HP3 is proposing a thirty-six (36) week program consisting of coder shadowing and coder education conducted by an experienced, credentialed HP3 coding consultant

This education program will be created to address the unique needs of JHHS's Coding program, specifically addressing inpatient and outpatient coding and documentation guidelines. The content of the education will be created using HP3's own materials for training with input from the Coding Program. An integral part of the mentoring program will be HP3's coder shadowing exercise.

This educational exercise will make use of the "case study" methodology where recently discharged patients' medical records will be reviewed. HP3 staff will review the recently coded records for medical record documentation that is critical to successful code assignment under a severity of illness reimbursement system. The majority of the program will focus on inpatient coding. Inpatient coding will include the assignment of principal and secondary diagnoses, including operative and non-operative procedures. One (1) day a week will be spent on outpatient coding. The outpatient focus will be limited to Ambulatory Surgery and Emergency Room coding. The extent of outpatient coding covered during the proposed period will be determined by the skill level and previous outpatient exposure which the five (5) coding students have experienced. Based on our conversations with JHHS, the coding education provided to these students by JHHS did not address outpatient coding specifically.

For the coder shadowing exercise, HP3 staff will review recently coded inpatient and outpatient records and final coding assignments for each working day of the mentoring period. Each of the five (5) coding students will be given a set number of inpatient records per week to code and review with the instructor. JHHS coding students will be provided with feedback resulting from the record review and documentation of suggested APR DRG changes, severity of illness changes, and retrospective physician queries. Outpatient coder shadowing exercises will also be reviewed for admitting diagnoses and CPT assignment. As indicated before, the level of outpatient case studies versus straight classroom training will be determined once all five (5) students' outpatient skill set has been determined.

In addition to the training exercise, HP3 will conduct monthly coder education sessions for the 5 students and any other employees that JHHS wishes to attend to review the relationship among clinical documentation, complete coding, and severity DRG assignment. The sessions will also address formulating physician queries and communicating with physicians about complete clinical documentation.

The HP3 staff member will maintain a weekly summary for each of the five (5) students containing their productivity and quality measures such as: Changes in APR DRG, SOI, Principal Diagnosis, Secondary Diagnoses, and Procedure Coding. These measures will be provided to the client in a similar format included as Appendix B to this proposal. The initial focus of this engagement with the students will be on quality not on productivity. The interaction between the students and instructor via questions and feedback is critical to the learning/reinforcement process. Weekly productivity and quality reports will be produced and provided to JHHS but will not be a focus for the students until the second half of this engagement.

The JHHS coding students will review inpatient records of patients with common diagnosis/procedures for a particular medical or surgical specialty. Each of the three JHHS entities will provide records so the student associated with their institution will work closely with their "home" facility records. The instructional calendar will be divided into three (3) phases or sections, for a period of 12 weeks each. For the purposes of the coder shadowing exercise, the sequence of medical/surgical specialties in each phase will be the following:

Phase I – Weeks 1 - 12

- Labor & Delivery
- Delivery/Baby
- Respiratory Diseases
- Sepsis/UTI

Phase II - Weeks 13 - 24

- Diabetes/Endocrinology
- Neoplasm/Oncology
- Renal/Kidney
- Gastrointestinal/Genitourinary

Phase III – Weeks 25 - 36

- Neurology
- Musculoskeletal/Orthopedics
- Cardiology & Cardiac Surgery
- Pediatrics
- Mental Health/Psychiatric/Trauma/Burn

As the JHHS students progress through the three (3) phases, their inpatient coding productivity will increase. For each phase, there is a different productivity target reflecting the students' progress through the coder shadowing program. Initially the productivity is used to determine the number of records for review only. Although productivity reports will be compiled and shared with JHHS, the initial focus will be quality. The productivity targets stated will provide each institution the number of records each facility needs to provide. For Phase I, the inpatient

HP3, Inc

Information contained in this proposal is confidential and proprietary, intended only for the recipient, and shall not be transferred to third parties

productivity target is 2-4 records per day or 16 records per week (4 days I/P). For Phase II, the productivity target is 6-8 records per day or 24 records per week (4 days I/P). For Phase III, the productivity target is 8-10 records per day or 40 records per week (4 days I/P). If the students complete their "home" facility charts, they will code from one of the other two facility charts. HP3 will review 100% of the student's "home" charts with the student. If time permits, additional charts will be reviewed.

The number of charts needed for the outpatient component will be determined after the initial outpatient assessment. This will be communicated to JHHS after the first full week of operation. Outpatient productivity reports will be generated weekly to include number of records reviewed and CPT and ICD-9 changes.

Each of the three (3) entities (Johns Hopkins Hospital, Johns Hopkins Bayview Medical Center and Howard County) must supply copies of medical records at least two (2) working days before the start of a new specialty. Appendix C outlines the inpatient specialty to be reviewed by week. JHHS is responsible for the confidential destruction of all medical record copies after the records have been released by the HP3 staff member.

The HP3 staff member will schedule monthly status meetings with JHHS management to review student progress, concerns or opportunities for improvement. JHHS will be given the opportunity to approve the content prior to the upcoming session. Each attendee will receive reference materials that can be used during and after the session, as well as practice exercises to reinforce the content that was covered.

At the conclusion of the coder mentoring period, the HP3 staff member will administer HP3's Coder Fitness Test ^{IM} instrument to the five (5) JHHS coding students. The instrument has been validated by coders employed at healthcare provider settings throughout the county. The HP3 Coder Fitness Test ^{IM} is an on-line test that validates a coder's skills in all of the subtopic areas for the type of coding they are responsible for providing at a facility. There are currently three (3) different versions of the HP3 Coder Fitness Test ^{IM}: inpatient, outpatient-facility based and physician pro-fee. JHHS's coding students will be tested using the inpatient and outpatient version of the Coder Fitness Test ^{IM}. Each coder will be provided with scores in each of the subtopic areas for their own test along with recommendations for improving their future coding skill set. In addition, JHHS will be provided with summary scores, similar to the table noted below for each of the five JHHS coding students. The Coder Fitness Test ^{IM} will serve as a practice test for any student planning to take AHIMA's CCA exam.

Coder	1	2	3	4	5	Avg
Basic Coding Principles	89%	52%	59%	96%	78%	75%
Advanced Coding Principles	53%	29%	18%	53%	71%	45%
Secondary Conditions	94%	67%	28%	83%	67%	59%
Querying Physician	100%	50%	50%	63%	88%	60%
Procedures	43%	57%	57%	57%	57%	54%
Average Test Results	76%	51%	42%	70%	72%	

3.0 Project Team

The HP3 Project Team, based on availability, may consist of any of the following individuals:

Project Manager: Team Members:

Susan Bell, CPA, CPC Patricia Hoole, RHIT, CCS

Lisa Smith, MBA, CCS

4.0 Project Deliverables

The JHHS coder mentoring program will be conducted on-site for a period 36 weeks and includes the following:

- HP3 will meet monthly with JHHS to discuss process and progress of program
- Outpatient skill set assessment will be communicated to JHHS within the first full week of operation. HP3 and JHHS will need to determine scope of outpatient focus based on this assessment.
- Inpatient productivity and quality reports similar to Appendix B will be provided to JHHS on a weekly basis for each of the five (5) students
- HP3 will conduct monthly coder education sessions that review the relationship among clinical documentation, complete coding, severity and APR/DRG assignment. The sessions will also address formulating physician queries and communicating with physicians about complete clinical documentation.
- Training materials will be prepared and provided to each student. In addition, a master copy will be provided to each facility.

5.0 Project Fees

The fees for this project will be for thirty-six (36) weeks of coder mentoring and instruction by a credentialed, experienced HP3 coding consultant. In addition, reasonable and necessary travel expenses such as mileage (at the current IRS rate), parking and tolls will also be billed.

HP3, Inc.
Information contained in this proposal is confidential and proprietary, intended only for the recipient, and shall not be transferred to third parties

Page 6

6.0 HP3 Compliance, Training and Education Plan

6.1 Statement of Compliance/Ethics

HP3, Inc. (HP3) is committed to abiding by all laws, rules, and regulations that govern a consulting firm involved in the highly regulated healthcare industry. The HP3 Standards of Conduct and Individual Integrity are attached hereto. This document is available to all HP3 employees on the HP3 Intranet, as well as to the general public on the HP3 internet site www.hp3.com. All HP3 employees must pass an examination related to the Standards of Conduct and Individual Integrity

Proposal for Coder Mentoring Services Revised August 28, 2006

This examination is contained on the HP3 Learning Management System (LMS), HP3 University (www.hp3u.com).

Through our compliance policies and procedures, HP3 promotes and emphasizes an ethical and honest corporate culture that permeates every aspect of our business relationships. This ethical corporate culture begins with our Chief Executive Officer, Ruthann Russo, JD, MPH, RHIT, who has been a pioneer in the compliance industry, having authored several books and numerous publications HP3's President & General Counsel, Joseph J. Russo, Esq., served on the Board of Directors of the Health Care Compliance Association (HCCA) and has authored several compliance related articles/ publications.

6.2 General Reimbursement/ Education and Training

HP3's Compliance Program is built upon our compliance products. All employees are required to review the Reimbursement Process Video Training Series and complete the accompanying pre- and post-tests. The pre- and post-tests completed by HP3 employees are maintained on file in the Human Resources Department.

This video series, produced by HP3, stresses not only compliant practices in healthcare reimbursement, but also emphasizes the need for all healthcare providers to ensure that their staffs have an in-depth understanding of compliant reimbursement processes. This includes compliance issues that are involved in every aspect of the reimbursement cycle.

The Reimbursement Process Video Training Series includes the following videotapes:

Ш	Registration (volume 1)
	Documentation (Volume 2)
	Coding (Volume 3)
	Patient Accounting (Volume 4)
	Documentation Improvement for Hospital Inpatient Records (Volume 6)
	Documentation Improvement for Physician Evaluation & Management
	Coding (Volume 7)

6.3 HP3 Coding and Auditing Compliance Resource Manual

As an integral part of HP3's comprehensive Compliance Program, all HP3 employees have access to the HP3 Coding and Auditing Compliance Resource Manual. This comprehensive manual is located on the HP3 Intranet site and is a valuable resource for all HP3 technical staff. The HP3 Coding and Auditing Compliance Resource Manual is available to HP3 clients upon request.

The HP3 Coding and Auditing Compliance Resource Manual addresses such topics as compliant coding practices, coding without a complete record, physician query, coding resources, OIG Compliance Guidance, AHIMA Code of Ethics, AAPC Code of Ethical Standards, OIG Special Advisory Bulletins, Relevant AHIMA Practice Briefs, Documentation Requirements for Accurate Inpatient Coding, and Documentation Required for Accurate E/M Coding. In addition, the Manual contains voluminous coding, auditing, and compliance references.

The HP3 Coding and Auditing Compliance Resource Manual clearly delineates that upcoding and assumption coding are prohibited.

Proposal for Coder Mentoring Services Revised August 28, 2006

Johns Hopkins Health System Baltimore, MD

transferred to third parties Page 7

6.4 Quality Monitoring and Auditing

As a leader in documentation, coding, and data solutions, HP3 realizes the quality of our results and reports is critical to the success of our clients and to our own success. Clients rely on our judgment and expertise to assist them with complex health information, operational, financial, and compliance situations. To help ensure accurate coding, auditing, and reporting of key data, all HP3 employees must abide by established quality policies.

HP3's health information management professionals must ensure that all coding/auditing is performed in accordance with Medicare regulations and official coding guidelines (including the Official Guidelines for Coding and Reporting, AHA's Coding Clinic for ICD-9-CM, AMA's CPT Assistant for CPT, the National Correct Coding Initiative, and the Outpatient Code Editor) In the absence of Coding Clinic or CPT Assistant guidelines on a particular topic to the contrary, HP3 staff will utilize client-specific policies and procedures to complete the coding function

Routine quality procedures involve various levels of management or peer review. The specific requirements depend on project requirements, contractual agreements, and Project Manager judgment. Periodic audits to monitor compliance with coding and auditing guidelines will be performed by the Project Manager.

6.5 HIPAA Privacy Education and Training

As an integral part of HP3 orientation, employees must review a videotape produced by MediaPro in association with Strategic Management Systems, Inc. entitled, "An Introduction to HIPAA Privacy and Security for Healthcare Business Associates."

HP3 is constantly in possession of protected health information, as defined in the HIPAA Final Privacy Regulations. As a result, all employees, in addition to reviewing the above referenced videotapes, must certify that they will maintain the confidentiality of all individually identifiable patient healthcare information. This includes patient medical records, patient charts, patient data, and other confidential health information. This certification (HP3 Health Information Confidentiality Statement), upon execution, is placed in the employee's respective file within the HP3 Human Resources Department.

HP3 employees must further complete related forms including the "HP3 Non-Disclosure/Non-Solicitation Agreement" and the "HP3 Confidentiality Policy."

HP3 shall comply with the terms and	conditions set forth in the Business Associate Agreement
between HP3 and JHHS dated	, 2006.

Employees who are hired to perform coding, auditing, and consulting work for HP3 take either the "HP3 Outpatient Coding Test" or the "HP3 Inpatient Coding Test" as a requirement for employment. Some potential employees are given both tests—depending upon their areas of expertise. An affidavit is signed that states the person did not receive help on the test.

6.6 Updating Education/Training Requirements

HP3 believes that compliance is an ongoing process. Therefore, we continue to modify the training requirements for our employees as the industry changes and progresses. All HP3 technical staff must pass a quarterly examination related to general compliance, coding, and/or auditing.

Finally, in accordance with OIG Compliance Guidance, we request a copy of all of our client's compliance policies that are applicable to our work at the client site – and commit via contractual provisions to abide by the client's compliance policies and procedures.

6.7 Verification of Credentialed Employees and Reference Checks

HP3's Human Resources Department verifies the credentials of all professional coders, nurses, and auditors. Employees with the credentials of CPC and CPC-H are verified with the American Academy of Professional Coders (AAPC).

Employees with the credentials of CCS, CCS-P, RHIT, and RHIA are verified with American Health Information Management Association (AHIMA)

Employees with the credentials of Registered Nurse (RN) are verified through the appropriate state licensure board.

Employees with any other professional and/or educational training/degrees are verified through the respective educational institutions

Reference checks are conducted on a routine basis for every HP3 employee.

6.8 Verification of Non-Exclusion From Any Federal/State Healthcare Program

HP3's Human Resources Department performs a search of the Office of Inspector General (OIG) List of Excluded Individuals/Entities (LEIE) to ensure that employees have never been the subject of mandatory/permissive exclusion from the Medicare, Medicaid, or any other Federal or State Healthcare Program and to further ensure that employees are not listed in the LEIE database.

HP3 employees, as a condition precedent to employment, must certify that they have never been the subject of exclusion from participation in Medicare, Medicaid, or any other Federal or State Healthcare Program.

6.9 HP3 CDI Physician Education & Training Compliance Statement (Re: Self-Referral (Stark) & Federal Anti-Kickback Laws)

Hospital and physician documentation requirements are complex and at times cumbersome. The Medicare requirements for proper documentation are contained in thousands of pages of regulations. The majority of compliance settlements and resultant Corporate Integrity Agreements (CIA's) are based on coding and billing issues not supported by appropriate clinical documentation in the medical record. Therefore, physician compliance education and training in the areas of clinical documentation and accurate coding are essential to minimizing risk as well as maintaining an effective compliance program.

The Final Compliance Program Guidance for Individual and Small Group Physician Practices, issued by the Office of Inspector General (OIG), emphasizes the importance of complete documentation in the medical record for improving patient care, ensuring accurate reimbursement, and decreasing compliance risk. Quality documentation benefits both hospitals and physicians in many ways. This Compliance Guidance states:

Timely, accurate and complete documentation is important to clinical patient care. This same documentation serves as a second function when a bill is submitted for payment, namely, as verification that the bill is accurate as submitted. Therefore, one of the most important physician practice compliance issues is the appropriate documentation of diagnosis and treatment. Physician documentation is necessary to determine the appropriate medical treatment for the patient and is the basis for coding and billing determinations. Thorough and accurate documentation also helps to ensure accurate recording and timely transmission of information.

Therefore, it is important that physicians obtain compliance education and training in a cost effective manner without violating the Federal Anti-Kickback and Self-Referral (Stark) laws. The Federal Anti-Kickback statute (42 U.S.C. § 1320a-7b) makes it a criminal offense to knowingly and willfully offer, pay, solicit, or receive any remuneration to induce referrals of items or services reimbursable under any federal healthcare program. Remuneration includes direct or indirect kickbacks, bribes or rebates, whether in cash or in kind. The Stark law (42 U.S.C. § 1 395nn) prohibits physicians from referring Medicare or Medicaid patients to entities for the provision of certain statutorily defined health services where those physicians or their immediate family members have financial relationships with those entities. The government, however, has promulgated safe harbor regulations that define practices that are not subject to the Anti-Kickback statute because such practices would not likely result in fraud or abuse. In a similar fashion under Stark, certain compensation practices are exempted from the definition of financial relationships.

In its Final Compliance Program Guidance for Individual and Small Group Physician Practices, the OIG encourages collaborative compliance efforts between physicians and a sponsoring provider (e.g., a hospital). However, the OIG cautions that:

[t]o prevent possible anti-kickback or self-referral issues, the OIG recommends that physicians consider limiting their participation in a sponsoring provider's compliance program to the areas of training and education or policies and procedures.

The key to avoiding possible conflicts is to ensure that the entity providing compliance services to a physician practice (its referral source) is not perceived as nor is it operating the practice compliance program at no charge. For example, if the sponsoring entity conducted claims review for the physician practice as part of a compliance program or provided compliance oversight without charging the practice fair market value for those services, the Anti-Kickback and Stark self referral laws would be implicated. The payment of fair market value by referral sources for compliance services will generally address these concerns (65 Fed. Reg. 59437).

Collaborative compliance efforts with hospitals and other providers enable physicians to economically obtain education and training. According to the above-referenced Compliance Guidance, the OIG does not consider free collaborative compliance training that is provided by or on behalf of a hospital to physicians as implicating either the Federal Anti-Kickback or Stark Self-Referral statutes. Indeed, the OIG encourages physician practices to participate in other providers' compliance programs, such as the compliance programs of the hospitals or other settings in which the physicians practice.

It is the position of HP3 that physicians may attend training programs offered by hospitals, third party billing companies, consulting companies, local medical societies and insurance carriers. Collaborative training provides a means to promote legitimate compliance objectives without imposing excessive burdens on the physician. This collaborative effort is an excellent way for physicians to satisfy training objectives without expending resources for internal training.

The HP3 Clinical Documentation Improvement Programs include education and training of physicians in the areas of clinical documentation and coding

The goals of the HP3 CDI Program are to ensure that the clinical documentation:

- Drives appropriate code selection for accurate reimbursement
- Supports the *high quality care* provided by the Hospital;
- Accurately reflects *patient acuity levels* and the care provided;
- Is accurately and compliantly captured at the *point of service*;
- Meets JCAHO standards for clinical documentation;
- Reduces risks from incomplete or unclear documentation;
- Provides accurate data for *Medicare quality indicators* and *hospital report cards*.

Further, it is the position of HP3 that CDI education and training is appropriate, compliant with Stark and Federal anti-kickback laws and regulations, and indeed encouraged by the OIG. However, the auditing of physician claims as part of a CDI Program may implicate the Stark and anti-kickback laws and regulations as noted by the OIG in its Compliance Program Guidance. Therefore, HP3 will not perform auditing of physicians who have admitting privileges in a hospital as part of a CDI Program unless the hospital specifically requests same and the hospital certifies that the reimbursement received from the physician/physician practice for such audit services equals fair market value.

An optional component of the HP3 CDI Program is physician shadowing. It is the position of HP3 that physician shadowing constitutes documentation training and education, and constitutes permissible collaborative compliance training and education favored by the OIG.

6.10 Contingency Fee Billing

The OIG, in Fraud Alert 97-01, announced its suspicion of consultants who utilize contingency fee arrangements. Although contingency fee auditing is not per se illegal or impermissible, HP3 will not perform any auditing work on a contingency fee basis. It is the position of HP3 that contingency fee auditing creates the appearance of impropriety and may lead to abusive reimbursement and billing practices. Therefore, HP3 will only charge flat fees with respect to consulting and auditing client engagements.

6.11 Promises & Guarantees

Consistent with a Special Advisory Bulletin issued by the OIG in June 2001 entitled "Practices of Business Consultants," HP3 will not "promise or guarantee specific results that are unreasonable or improbable." HP3 will not promise or guarantee a prospective client that its advice or services will produce a specific dollar or percentage increase in the client's Medicare reimbursements. According to the OIG, these promises are often based upon the consultant receiving a percentage of the increased reimbursement.

Projections based upon legitimate analysis of aggregate data (i.e. MEDPAR data, E&M Bell Curve data) or client specific data are permissible.

Susan Bell, CPA, CPC

Vice President, MidAtlantic Region HP3, Inc. Bethlehem, Pennsylvania

Susan Bell is the Vice President of the MidAtlantic Region with HP3, Inc., a national healthcare consulting firm dedicated to providing documentation, coding and data solutions for improved reimbursement, compliance, and patient care.

HP3 consultants assist healthcare providers in resolving challenging documentation, coding and data issues with solutions such as Clinical Documentation Improvement (CDI), outsourced coding, and auditing services. HP3 utilizes proprietary software in the delivery of its measurable, reproducible and sustainable consulting services.

Ms Bell is responsible for business development, Clinical Documentation Improvement and consulting professionals for HP3 She is actively involved in HP3's Clinical Documentation Improvements programs and participates in extensive research rendering expert opinions for clients Ms Bell is responsible for providing consulting services to Health Information Management Departments, and coding and auditing of both inpatient and outpatient charts. She also utilizes her knowledge of HIM, coding, and auditing to bring various benefits to every facility. Additional responsibilities include identifying reimbursement opportunities as well as compliance risks related to coding and billing.

Ms Bell's excellent leadership, communications skills and depth of coding knowledge contribute to sustainable solutions and efficient project completion. She is a dedicated individual withthe ability to resolve challenging coding and billing situations and recommend strategies to improve performance and reimbursement.

Ms. Bell came to HP3 withseveral years of consulting, management and financial experience in the health information management area. She has served as a director of clinical economies where she was responsible for state regulatory reporting, chargemaster, and maintenance rates and reimbursement. Ms Bell has also served as a coding consultant in the Baltimore area with a focus on regulatory reporting. She also served clients with consulting and providing coding audit solutions by addressing inpatient and observation coding and billing compliance with federal regulations.

Ms Bell earned a Bachelors degree from Western Maryland College. She is an active member of AAPC and HFMA.

08/14/2006



Patricia Hoole, RHIT, CCS

Senior Consultant HP3, Inc Bethlehem, Pennsylvania

Patricia Hoole is a Senior Consultant for HP3, Inc., a national healthcare consulting firm dedicated to providing documentation, coding and data solutions for improved reimbursement, compliance, and patient care

HP3 consultants assist health care providers in resolving challenging documentation, coding and data issues with solutions such as Clinical Documentation Improvement (CDI), outsourced coding, and auditing services HP3 utilizes proprietary software in the delivery of its measurable, reproducible and sustainable consulting services

Ms. Hoole is responsible for a variety of consulting services for HP3's clients including DRG and APR audits. She identifies the needs and educates the coding staff on accurate DRG assignment. Ms. Hoole is actively involved in HP3's Clinical Documentation Improvement programs, performing reviews to ensure data quality, optimal financial reimbursement and compliance with federal and state regulations. Additional responsibilities include contributing written articles to Iustcoding.com for publication on the internet regarding coding, reimbursement and clinical documentation improvement.

Ms. Hoole is a motivated, results oriented, professional Health Information Management Consultant. She ensures that the clients' needs are met. Ms. Hoole has excellent presentation skills and is experienced in providing education for coders, billers, and healthcare administrators. Her communication skills and depth of experience contribute to sustainable solutions and efficient project coordination.

Ms. Hoole has over ten years experience in the healthcare arena. Prior to joining HP3, she was a coding consultant with a National Coding Firm, performing coding functions at contract sites throughout the Southeast and Mid-Atlantic states. Ms. Hoole is proficient in acute care coding. She was also employed as a coding-billing assistant for an Orthopedic Surgery Practice Ms. Hoole's duties included analyzing and coding orthopedic surgical procedures and diagnoses using the Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) and International Classification of Diseases (ICD-9CM)

Ms Hoole earned degree in Health Information Technology and a Health Data Coders Certificate from Prince George's Community College. She is a Registered Health Information Technician (RHIT) and Certified Coding Specialist (CCS). Ms. Hoole is a member of the American Health Information Management Association, the District of Columbia HIMA, and the Society for Clinical Coding.

04/19/2005



Lisa Louise Smith, MBA, CCS

Senior Consultant HP3, Inc. Bethlehem, PA

Lisa Smith is a Senior Consultant for HP3, Inc., a national healthcare consulting firm dedicated to providing documentation, coding and data solutions for improved reimbursement, compliance, and patient care.

HP3 consultants assist health care providers in resolving challenging documentation, coding and data issues with solutions such as Clinical Documentation Improvement (CDI), outsourced coding, and auditing services. HP3 utilizes proprietary software in the delivery of its measurable, reproducible and sustainable consulting services.

Ms. Smith is responsible for a variety of consulting services for HP3's clients including DRG and APR audits She identifies the needs and educates the coding staff on accurate DRG assignment. Ms Goods is actively involved in HP3's Clinical Documentation Improvement programs, performing reviews to ensure data quality, optimal financial reimbursement and compliance with federal and state regulations. Additional responsibilities include contributing written articles to Iustcoding.com for publication on the internet regarding coding, reimbursement and clinical documentation improvement

Ms. Smith is a dedicated individual with the ability to resolve challenging coding and billing situations and recommend strategies to improve performance and reimbursement. Her professionalism, exceptional communication and presentation skills, and superior coding knowledge contribute to quality audits and education, sustainable solutions and efficient project completion.

Ms. Smith came to HP3 with progressive experience in Health Information Management. She has extensive coding and consulting experience at various consulting firms and healthcare facilities in Virginia. Ms. Smith served as a data quality manager, an inpatient/outpatient coder and consultant, account specialist and a medical records assistant. Besides managing a team of coders and maintaining the coding/consulting productivity standards, she has been involved in conducting employee training and education in the health information department, and developing the HIM monthly newsletter within the hospital.

Ms. Smith earned an MBA and Bachelor of Science degree in Business Administration with a minor in Human Resource Management from Strayer University in Washington DC. She is CCS certified.

04/19/2005



Johns Hopkins Health System Corporation Appendix B - Coding Quality Weekly Summary

	Total				Leto		ic to L	Orinoino	Connection	Diagnosis			
Records		DRG/SOI	Total	व	Codes	Coding	Č	Diagnosis	Principal Secondary Diagnosis Diagnosis	Æ	Proce		Procedure
Wook 1	7	1000000	Codes	es	Changes		2	Changes	Changes	Total	dures	Š	Accuracy
Week -	- ;	n (25% 25%	135	30	%//	N	က		73%	32	2	91%
Week 2		୍ ପ	93%	176	53	%69	46	2 de 12 de 1		92%	44	7	84%
Week 3	_ 	တ လ	31%	226	54	%9/	44	0		%92	40	8	%08
Week 4	13	- -	,000 ,000	142	23	83%	22	2		85%	39		%/6
Week 5	37	വ	%98	320	72	77%	- 28	7	51		70	13	82%
Week 6	38	⊿ ∞)	%6£	383	29	82%	56	တ		83%	62	11	82%
Week 7	36	<u>.</u> Σ	%60	405	72	82%	99	10		81%	55		87%
Week 8		4	%98	289	62	78%	- 59	$ \Delta = \Delta + \Delta $		75%	49	5	%06
Changes include: DRG changes and coding changes (add or minus	le:DRG chan	iges and codir	ng change.	s (add or	minus dx or px)	(xd.							

				September	2006		
	Sunday	Monday	Tuesday		Wed	Friday	Sat 2
1	3	Holiday	4	Start Program -Overview -Inventory of I/P O/P experience	6 Inpatient -Labor & Del	7 Inpatient -Labor & Del	8 9
2	10	Inpatient	Inpatient	12 Inpatient -Labor & Del	Inpatient	14 O/P	15 16
3	17	<i>Inpatient</i> -Labor & Del	Inpatient	Inpatient -Labor & Del	20 Inpatient -Labor & Del	21 O/P	22 23
4	24	Inpatient -Delivery/Baby	Inpatient -Delivery/Baby	Inpatient -Delivery/Baby	27 Inpatient -Delivery/Baby	28 O/P	29

				October 20	106		
	Sunday	Monday	Tuesday		Wed	Friday	Sat
5	1	Inpatient	2 Inpatient	inpatient 4	5 Inpatient	O/P	6 7
		-Delivery/Baby	-Delivery/Baby	-Delivery/Baby	-Delivery/Baby		
	8		9 10	11	12		13 14
		Pat - Off	Pat - Off	Pat - Off	Pat - Off	Pat - Off	
	15	10	17	18	19	<u></u>	20 21
		Pat - Off	Pat - Off	Pat - Off	Pat - Off	Pat - Off	
	22	2:	### WW.	25	100	0.0	27 28
6		Inpatient	Inpatient	Inpatient	Inpatient	O/P	
		-Delivery/Baby	-Delivery/Baby	-Delivery/Baby	-Delivery/Baby		
	29	3() 31	1646			
7		Inpatient	Inpatient				
		-Respiratory Diseases	-Respiratory Diseases				

					Novembe	r 20	06				
	Sunday	Monday	Tuesday				Wed		Friday		Sat
					Inpatient	1	Inpatient	2	O/P	3	4
					-Respiratory Diseases		-Respiratory Diseases				
•	5		6	7		8		9		10	11
8	į	Inpatient	Inpatient		Inpatient		Inpatient		O/P		
		-Respiratory Diseases	-Respiratory Diseases		-Respiratory Diseases		-Respiratory Diseases				
	12	1	3	14		15		16		17	18
9		Inpatient	Inpatient		Inpatient		Inpatient		O/P		
		-Respiratory Diseases	-Respiratory Diseases		-Respiratory Diseases		-Respiratory Diseases				
	19			21		22		23		24	25
10		Inpatient	Inpatient		Inpatient		Pat - Off		Pat - Off		
İ	ļ	-Sepsis/UTI	-Sepsis/UTI		-Sepsis/UTI						
ŀ	26	2	7	28		29		30			
11		Inpatient	Inpatient		Inpatient	3073 203	Inpatient	Š.			
		-Sepsis/UTI	-Sepsis/UTI		-Sepsis/UTI		-Sepsis/UTI				

					Decembe	r 20	06				
	Sunday	Monday	Tuesday				Wed		Friday		Sat
									O/P	1	2
12	3	Inpatient	4 Inpatient	5	Inpatient	6	Inpatient	7	O/P	8	9
		-Sepsis/UTI	-Sepsis/UTI		-Sepsis/UTI		-Sepsis/UTI				
13	10	Inpatient	11 Inpatient	12	Inpatient	13	Inpatient	14	O/P	15	16
		-Diabetes/Endo	-Diabetes/Endo		-Diabetes/Endo		-Diabetes/Endo				
4.4	17			19		20		21		22	23
14		Inpatient	Inpatient		Inpatient		Inpatient		Pat - Off		
		-Diabetes/Endo	-Diabetes/Endo		-Diabetes/Endo		-Diabetes/Endo		,		
	24	2	25	26		27		28	-	29	
		Pat - Off	Pat - Off		Pat - Off		Pat - Off		Pat - Off		
									····		

					January 20	007			
	Sunday	Monday	Tuesday		We	ed	Thurs		Sat
15		1 Pat - Off	<i>Inpatient</i> -Diabetes/Endo	2	Inpatient -Diabetes/Endo	3 Inpatient -Diabetes/Endo	O/P	5	6
16	7	Inpatient	Inpatient	g	Inpatient	D 11 Inpatient	O/P	12	13
		-Neoplasm/Onc	-Neoplasm/Onc		-Neoplasm/Onc	-Neoplasm/Onc			
17	14	Inpatient	Inpatient	16	1: Inpatient -Neoplasm/Onc	7 18 Inpatient -Neoplasm/Onc	O/P	19	20
-	21	-Neoplasm/Onc	-Neoplasm/Onc	23				26	27
18	4. 1	Inpatient	Inpatient		Inpatient	Inpatient	O/P	20	2,
		-Neoplasm/Onc	-Neoplasm/Onc		-Neoplasm/Onc	-Neoplasm/Onc			
19	28	29 Inpatient	Inpatient	30	3° Inpatient				TABLE III.
		-Renal/Kidney	-Renal/Kidney		-Renal/Kidney				

1				February 20	07			
	Sunday	Monday	Tuesday		Wed	Friday		Sat
					Inpatient -Renal/Kidney	O/P	2	3
20	4			288	8	O/P	9	10
20		Inpatient	Inpatient	Inpatient	Inpatient	0,7		
		-Renal/Kidney	-Renal/Kidney	-Renal/Kidney	-Renal/Kidney			
21	11	12 Inpatient	13 Inpatient	14 Inpatient	15 Inpatient	O/P	16	17
						<i>0.</i> ,		
		-Renal/Kidney	-Renal/Kidney	-Renal/Kidney	-Renal/Kidney			
22	18	t: Inpatient	20 Inpalient	21 Inpalient	22 Inpatient	O/P	23	24
		-Gastrointestinal/ Genitourinary	-Gastrointestinal/ Genitourinary	-Gastrointestinal/ Genitourinary	-Gastrointestinal/ Genitourinary			
23	25	26 Impatient	Impatient 27	28 Inpatient				
		-Gastrointestinal/ Genitourinary	-Gastrointestinal/ Genitourinary	-Gastrointestinal/ Genitourinary				

				March 2007		***		\neg
	Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wed	Thurs	Friday	Sat	
3					Inpellent	O/P	2	3
					-Gastrointestinal/ Genitourinary			
24	4	5 Inpatient	6 Inpatient	Inpatient	7 8 Inpatient	O/P	9 ^	10
}		-Gastrointestinal/ Genitourinary	-Gastrointestinal/ Genitourinary	-Gastrointestinal/ Genitourinary	-Gastrointestinal/ Genitourinary			_
25	11	12 Inpatient	13 Inpatient	1 Inpatient	4 15 Inpatient	O/P	16 1	17
		-Neurology	-Neurology	-Neurology	-Neurology			
26	18	19 Inpatient	20 Inpatient	2. Inpatient	1 22 Inpatient	O/P	23 2	24
		-Neurology	-Neurology	-Neurology	-Neurology			
27	25	26 Правени	27 Inpatient	inpatient.	8 29 Inpalient	O/P	30	
	f	-Musculoskeletal Orthopedics	-Musculoskeletal Orthopedics	-Musculoskeletal Orthopedics	-Musculoskeletal Orthopedics			

	April 2007								
	Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wed	Thurs	Friday	Sat		
28	1	Inpatient 2	Inpatient 3	Inpatient 2	5 Inpatient	O/P	6 7		
		-Musculoskeletal Orthopedics	-Musculoskeletal Orthopedics	-Musculoskeletal Orthopedics	-Musculoskeletal Orthopedics				
29	8	9 Inpatient	10 Inpatient	11 Inpatient	12 Inpatient	O/P	13 14		
		-Musculoskeletal Orthopedics	-Musculoskeletal Orthopedics	-Cardiology/ Cardiac Surgery	-Cardiology/ Cardiac Surgery				
30	15	16 Inpatient	17 Inpatient	18 Inpatient	19 Inpatient	O/P	20 21		
		-Cardiology/ Cardiac Surgery			-Cardiology/ Cardiac Surgery				
31	22	23 Inpatient	24 Inpatient	25 Inpatient	26 Inpatient	O/P	27 28		
_		Cardiac Surgery	Cardiac Surgery		-Cardiology/ Cardiac Surgery				
32	29	30 Inpatient							
		-Pediatrics							

	May 2007							
	Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wed	Thurs	Friday		Sat
			Inpatient	Inpatient	2 Inpatient	3 <i>O/P</i>	4	5
			-Pediatrics	-Pediatrics	-Pediatrics			
33	6	Inpatient	7 Inpatient	Inpatient	9 1 Inpatient	0 <i>O/P</i>	11	12
		-Pediatrics	-Pediatrics	-Pediatrics	-Pediatrics			
	13	13 14	1 15	1.6	3 1	17	18	19
		Inpatient	Inpatient	Inpatient	Inpatient	O/P		
		-Mental Health/ Psych/Trauma	-Mental Health/ Psych/Trauma	-Mental Health/ Psych/Trauma	-Mental Health/ Psych/Trauma			
35	20	2° Inpatient	l 22 Inpatient	Inpatient	3 2 Inpatient	4 0/P	25	26
		-Mental Health/ Psych/Trauma	-Mental Health/ Psych/Trauma	-Mental Health/ Psych/Trauma	-Mental Health/ Psych/Trauma			
36	27	28 Pat - Off	lnpatient	30 Inpatient) Inpatient	1 0/P	32	
		rat - OII	-Mental Health/ Psych/Trauma	-Mental Health/ Psych/Trauma	-Review	-Review		

Note:

Additional days will be added to achieve the full 36 week program. Pat's vacation for 2007 needs to be added, if necessary.